

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
8 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
9 LITIGATION

Case No. [23-md-03084-CRB](#) (LJC)

10 This Document Relates To:

ORDER ON MOTION TO COMPEL

11 ALL CASES

Dkt. No. 1137

12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Custodial Discovery. Dkt. No.
13 No. 1137. Uber opposes the motion as to eighteen of Plaintiffs' nineteen proposed custodians and
14 proposed its own list of eighteen custodians. Dkt. Nos. 1541-5. A hearing was held on September
15 19, 2024. Having considered the briefing and arguments, the Court grants in part and denies in
16 part Plaintiffs' motion.

17 The Court has issued an order resolving the motion to compel, explaining the relevant legal
18 standard and its analysis for the custodians. Dkt No. 1698. Because the order references material
19 that the parties have moved to seal, the order is provisionally filed under seal to allow the parties
20 an opportunity to seek redactions from the version that will eventually be filed in the public
21 record. As stated in that order, any proposed redactions shall be filed within three business days
22 of the Court's order on the underlying motions to seal.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: 10/2/2024

25
26
27
28
LISA J. CISNEROS
United States Magistrate Judge
